

Report on Evaluation of Events organized during the second year of the project


Prepared by :	University of Mostar
Contributors :	All partners
Work package:	6: Quality plan
Activity:	6.2. Activities evaluation
Type:	Report

During the second year of the project, seven events were organized:

1. Steering Committee Meeting (SCM), Matej Bel University, Banska Bistrica, Slovakia, 6-7 February 2018
2. Training of Trainers (ToT), University of Mostar, Mostar, Bosnia and Herzegovina (BiH), 21-22 February 2018
3. Training of Trainers II (ToT II), University of Alba Iulia, Alba Iulia, Romania, 20-21 March 2018
4. Seminar- 3rd Methodic/Didactic, University of Tuzla, Tuzla, BiH, 8-9 May 2018
5. Workshop-Transversal Competences, Independent University Banja Luka, Banja Luka, BiH, 22-23 May 2018
6. Seminar-Methodic/Didactic, Herzegovina University, Međugorje, BiH, 19-20 September 2018
7. Steering Committee Meeting (SCM), University of Bihać, Bihać, BiH, 30 October 2018

It can therefore be summarized that 2 Steering Committee Meetings, 2 ToT Sessions, 3 Seminars and 1 Workshop were organized.


After each of the above mentioned activities, a questionnaire was given to participants to evaluate preparation and implementation of the specific event. As it can be seen in Picture 1 the number of respondents to questionnaires varied between 27 for the SCM at Matej Bel University in Banska Bistrica and 10 for the ToT held in Alba Iulia and SCM in Bihać.



Picture 1 Number of respondents per meeting in 2018

Results per question and across events


Question 1:



Picture 2 Answers to the question 1: “The organization of this activity was well prepared?”

As it can be seen from the Picture 2, respondents were in general satisfied with the preparation of the activity they participated in. Nevertheless, the difference in the level of satisfaction is visible between events. Methodic/Didactic seminar held in Tuzla was outlined as the best prepared, as well as the SCM in Bihać and the Seminar held at the Herzegovina University. Participants seemed to be the least satisfied with preparation of the ToT Session in Mostar. It was the only event a third of participants did not find satisfactory and for which one participant even completely disagreed that it was well prepared at all.


Question 2:



Picture 3 Answers to the question 2: “The information provided by the organizers was on time”

As shown on Picture 3, for half of the activities in 2018 majority of participants thought that they were timely informed about. For other activities, participants in general agreed that information was shared on time. Nevertheless, it is visible that some participants expected but did not fully get the information on time for the Workshop in Banja Luka, Seminar at Herzegovina University and ToT Session in Mostar.


Question 3



Picture 4 Answers to the question 2: “The objectives of the event were clearly stated”

As Picture 4 shows, overwhelming majority of participants had clear understanding of the objectives of the Methodic/Didactic Seminars held in Tuzla and at the Herzegovina University, as well as the ToT II Session in Alba Iulia and SCM in Bihać. Similar to answers to previous questions, participants seemed to least recognize the objectives of the Workshop in Banja Luka and the ToT Session in Mostar. It is also interesting that 2 out of 15 participants of the seminar at the Herzegovina University believed that objectives of the seminar were not clear in comparison to 13 other participants who fully understood them.


Question 4



Picture 5 Answers to the question 4 “The inputs (e.g. presentations, materials, handouts) of the presenting parties were clear and informative”

As it can be visible in Picture 5, participants seemed satisfied with the inputs of the events in general. Overwhelming majority of participants were fully satisfied only with the inputs of the SCM in Bihac and Seminar at the Herzegovina University as it was the majority of participants of the ToT II Session in Alba Iulia and Seminar in Tuzla. Participants of the Workshop dedicated to Transversal Competences held in Banja Luka and ToT Session in Mostar seemed to be the least satisfied with the inputs of the activity, which is in line with the opinion elicited from previous questions.


Question 5



Picture 6 Answers to question 5 “There was enough room for everyone to contribute”

As Picture 6 shows, all participants of the ToT Session in Alba Iulia and overwhelming majority of participants of all events thought each participant had a chance to express himself/herself and work closely with other participants during the activity. Only one participant of the SCM at Matej Bel University, ToT session in Mostar and Seminar at the Herzegovina University disagreed with such notion, while the three participants of the Workshop in Banja Luka didn't have a specific opinion regarding this question.

Question 6



Picture 7 Answers to question 6 “The communication between partners was active and progressive

Similar to answers to the previous question, all participants of the ToT Session in Alba iulia and overwhelming majority of participants of all events thought that communication between partners was intensive and useful and only one participant in four events disagreed with that.

Question 7

Question 7 of the questionnaire was an open-ended question, which offered a possibility for additional comments related to the activity. The most commented activities by a number of written comments were Methodic/Didactic Seminar organized by the Herzegovina University in Međugorje and ToT held in Mostar. Four comments were given regarding the Seminar in Međugorje, three commendable and outlining creativity and one opting for more experienced and focused presentations as those offered were deemed basic, while two out of the three comments regarding the ToT Sessions in Mostar suggested it should have been better and more systematically organized while the third comment was that “this event was used to clarify elements in the WP and bring the agreement on future joint activities”. Seminar in Tuzla received two commendable comments outlining “how it was very useful and more workshops of this Type seminar should be organized for all of us. Bravo!!!”. Similar and single comment was given in the evaluations of the ToT in Alba Iulia and Workshop in Banja Luka. There were no comments given for the SC Meetings at Matej Bel and Bihać.