


Report on Evaluation of the guest lectures by EU partners at BH universities

Prepared by :	University of Mostar
Contributors :	All partners
Work package:	6: Quality plan
Activity:	6.2. Activities evaluation
Type:	Report

According to the project proposal, as the activity 5.4. it was planned that EU partner HEIs teaching staff will deliver guest lectures to students of innovated and modernized study programs at 6 BH partner HEIs. Due to the delays in the project implementation caused with the stall in the process of the study program accreditation, purchase of the equipment and the COVID-19 pandemic, foreseen lectures were delivered as online lectures at the very end of the project according to the following schedule:

- MMU professors delivered guest lecture to students of UNT and SVEMO, 18.3.2021.
- UNIZG professors delivered guest lecture to students of UNTZ and UNT, 17.3.2021.
- UAB professors delivered guest lectures to students of UNBI and NUBL, 26.3.2021.

It is important to mention that students were sent a link on the online evaluation form and that not all students who followed the lecture decided to fill in the evaluation form.




Picture 1 Number of students per university

As it is shown on Picture 1, students of the University of Travnik (UNT) were the most motivated to fill in the evaluation form regarding the guest lectures, followed by the UNBI. The smallest number of students who responded to the evaluation form was from the University of Mostar (SVEMO) and Independent University of Banja Luka (NUBL), 7 students.

Results per question


Question 1:



Picture 2 Answers to the question 1: “The organization of this activity was well prepared?”

As it can be seen from the Picture 2, more than 60% of students were in general satisfied with the preparation of the activity they participated in. Nevertheless, the difference in the level of satisfaction is visible between students of different universities. At least half of the SVEMO and UNT students fully agreed that guest lectures were well prepared, while the biggest share of UNTZ and NUBL students agreed with that. Although majority of UNBI students in general agreed that guest lecture was well prepared, it seems that UBI students were the least satisfied with the organization of the guest lecture, since almost every fifth student didn't agree it was well prepared and the same number of them did not have a clear opinion on this question.


Question 2:



Picture 3 Answers to the question 2: “The information provided by the organizers was on time”

As shown on Picture 3, overwhelming majority of students of UNTZ, SVEMO and NUBL in general agreed that the information about the guest lecture was provided on time. 3 out of 16 UNBI students disagreed that the information about guest lectures was provided on time, as well as the 3 out 22 UNT students. Nevertheless, majority of UNT and UNBI students in general agreed that information was shared on time.


Question 3



Picture 4 Answers to the question 2: “The objectives of the event were clearly stated”

As Picture 4 shows, majority of students at all universities agreed in general that the objectives of the guest lectures were clearly stated. The biggest share, but still only 50% of UNT students fully agreed that they had a clear understanding on the objectives of the lectures, while almost 25% of them could not give a clear answer to this question. 3 out of 16 UNBI students believed that objectives of the seminar were not clear in comparison to 11 other participants who agreed in general that objective were clear. It is interesting that 5 out of 7 SVEMO students who filled in the evaluation form, agreed that objectives were clearly set, while equal number of NUBL students, 3 students, agreed and fully agreed with that.


Question 4



Picture 5 Answers to the question 4 “The inputs (e.g. presentations, materials, handouts) of the presenting parties were clear and informative”

As it can be seen in Picture 5, students seemed satisfied with the inputs of the events in general. More than half of SVEMO, NUBL and UNTZ students and exactly half of UNT students fully agreed that presentations of the guest lecturers were clear and informative. While just over 30% of UNBI students shared the same opinion. Again, 3 out of 16 UNBI students did not agree that presentation was clear and informative, while the same opinion was shared by 2 out of 22 UNT students. It is interesting that over 30% of UNT students did not have a clear opinion on this question.


Question 5



Picture 6 Answers to question 5 “There was enough room for everyone to contribute”

As Picture 6 shows, more than half of UNTZ students fully agreed and all of them agreed in general that they had a chance to express themselves during the guest lectures. Similar opinions are shared by NUBL and SVEMO students. Only 59% of UNT students agreed in general that they were able to contribute to the guest lecture, 32% of them did not have a clear opinion, while 9% of them did not agree that there was a chance for everyone to contribute. Although overwhelming majority of UNBI students agreed in general that everyone was able to express herself/himself, 3 out of 16 students disagreed with that.

Question 6



Picture 7 Answers to question 6 “The communication between partners was active and progressive

As it can be seen on the Picture 7, more than half of UNTZ students fully agreed that communication between them and guest lecturer was active and progressive, while more than half of SVEMO students agreed with that. It is interesting that almost 15% of UNT, UNBI and NUBL students did not agree that communication was active and progressive during the lecture, and only one UNT student fully disagreed with such a notion. Nevertheless, it can be concluded that most of students agreed that the communication during the guest lecture was intensive and useful.

Question 7

Table 2 Answers to question 7 “How satisfied were you with the lecturer?”

	Number of students					M	SD
	1	2	3	4	5		
UNT	13	4	1	0	4	4.00	1.54
UNBI	10	4	2	0	0	4.50	0.73
SVEMO	5	1	1	0	0	4.57	0.79
UNTZ	3	6	0	0	0	4.33	0.50
NUBL	4	2	1	0	0	4.43	0.79
SVEHERZ							

In the Question 7, students were asked to rate the level of satisfaction with the lecturer between 1- Very unsatisfied and 5- Very satisfied. Judging from the mean scores, it can be concluded that students at all BH universities were very satisfied with guest lecturers. As the results in Table 2 show, SVEMO students seemed the most satisfied with the lecturer from the Manchester Metropolitan University (MMU) as the mean score was $M=4,57$ ($SD=0,79$). On the other hand, three times more students from UNT who filled in the evaluation questionnaire, seemed to be slightly less satisfied with the lecture given primarily by the teacher from MMU. UNBI and NUBL students were very satisfied with the lecturer from the University of Alba Iulia. According to the mean score, $M=4,33$ ($SD=0,50$) UNTZ students seemed were very satisfied with the lecturer from the University of Zagreb.

Question 8

The eight and the last question in the evaluation questionnaire was an open-ended question, which offered a possibility for additional comments related to the guest lectures. Out of 61 students who decided to fill in the evaluation questionnaires, only 10 of them decided to write some comments and those were students of UNT, UNBI and NUBL. Two comments were in fact written statements that they do not have comments and one UNT student had written: “Perspective after completing studies from my university”. Other seven comments were in fact that it was “Very nice” mentioned twice, “I really enjoyed taking part in this class. Thank you for giving me this opportunity. Now I am even more motivated for learning. Thanks!”, “Very interesting and useful lecture”, “I am very satisfied” and two other comments which were in fact smileys.